Apply the fundamentals to improve emissions performance

The O&M staff of AES Westover Station wisely took a holistic approach to optimizing combustion within Unit 8’s boiler in order to reduce its NOx emissions while maintaining acceptable levels of carbon-in-ash content. The results of major modifications—centered on the addition of a fan-boosted overfire air system—were a 60% reduction in NOx levels, improved unit reliability, and a project payback period measured in months rather than years. As this project proved, the whole is more than the sum of its parts.
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Controlling combustion often has been likened to a black art rather than a science. The physics of burning coal are as well understood as the design of steam generators. The art of optimizing combustion to produce minimal emissions comes into play when a boiler is asked to burn a fuel for which it was not designed and yet produce orders of magnitude less pollution than original permitted levels. We shouldn’t be surprised when a new round of boiler capital improvements is required every few years to comply with a new, lower NOx limit.

For many coal-fired plants, the recipe for optimized combustion has three ingredients: common sense, skepticism, and a willingness to lower expectations. Realize that maximizing the performance of every plant system isn’t sufficient to maximize the performance of the overall plant. A more holistic approach is required. The contributions of all systems to overall plant performance must be quantified and addressed separately and in concert. Permanently solving a combustion problem requires thoroughly understanding its underlying mechanisms, targeting them with good engineering practices, and having the patience to try different solutions until the desired results are achieved.

The antithesis of this approach would be succumbing to the temptation to look for the easy way out. Be skeptical of vendors’ claims. Don’t expect to solve your combustion problem overnight by buying the latest widget or software package and expecting your already-overburdened O&M staff to find a way to make it work.

About the patient and project

The 126-MW AES Westover Station in Johnstown, N.Y., provides an excellent case study of how applying the fundamentals and taking a holistic approach to a combustion problem can yield exemplary results. Westover Station Unit 8 is a tangentially-fired unit manufactured by Combustion Engineering (now Alstom Power) whose original steam conditions were 620,000 lb/hr of main steam at 1,475 psig. Superheat and reheat temperatures are 1,005F. The unit now has a gross output of 88 MW. The steam generator’s furnace measures 24 ft, 10 in. deep by 25 ft, 4 in. wide. The boiler’s 16 burners at four elevations are fed by four Raymond No. 533 deep-bowl pulverizers.

When Unit 8 entered commercial service in 1951, its NOx emission rate was typical of the time—0.6 to 0.9 lb/mmBtu with 3% loss-on-ignition (LOI). Subsequent combustion modifications reduced the rate to about 0.5 lb/mmBtu but raised LOI to 20%. Plant management was asked to modify Boiler 13 as needed to lower its NOx output below 0.32 lb/mmBtu as part of an AES fleetwide emissions reduction plan. The other goals of the project were to:

- Lower Unit 8’s NOx emission rate from over 0.5 lb/mmBtu (at full load) to 0.32 lb/mmBtu or less.
- Reduce LOI (flyash carbon content) to 5% to 8% (or less) at 83-MW net load.

The 13 essentials of optimizing combustion on boilers with low-NOx burners

1. The excess-oxygen level at the furnace exit must be 2% minimum (and preferably 3%).
2. The fuel lines to the burners should be balanced by a “clean air” test accurate to ±2% or better.
3. The fuel lines should be balanced by a “dirty air” test (using a high-velocity probe) accurate to ±5% or better.
4. The flows in the fuel lines should be within 10% or less.
5. At least 75% of the fuel particles in the lines should be fine enough to pass through a 200-mesh screen. Less than 0.1% of the particles should be able to pass a 50-mesh screen.
6. Primary airflow should be measured and controlled with an accuracy of ±3%.
7. Overfire air should likewise be measured and controlled at ±3% accuracy.
8. Primary air/fuel ratio should be accurately controlled when above minimum.
9. The minimum velocity within fuel lines should be 3,300 feet per minute.
10. Mechanical tolerances of burners and dampers should be ±¼ inch or better.
11. Secondary air distribution to burners should be controlled with an accuracy of between ±5% and ±10%.
12. The fuel feed to pulverizers should be smooth during load changes and measured and controlled as accurately as possible, preferably by gravimetric feeders equipped with load cells.
13. Fuel feed quality and size should be consistent.
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Maintain the excess-oxygen concentration at the furnace exit at 2.0% minimum (by traversing a test grid with a high-velocity thermocouple probe to create a “slag-friendly” furnace).
Maximize the unit’s load response and fuel flexibility.
Optimize its overall combustion efficiency and performance.

Pre-mod squad
A coal-fired unit’s combustion efficiency, emissions performance, operability, load response, reliability, and capacity are intimately related. Nonetheless, Westover staffers believed it would be possible (but difficult) to reduce the NO\textsubscript{x} level and simultaneously increase the excess oxygen level at the furnace exit without adversely affecting unit capacity, output, or reliability.

The first step they took (prior to actually modifying the combustion system) was to optimize the furnace inputs in order to minimize secondary combustion and the consequent potential for overheating superheater and reheater tube metals. Next, they installed air diverters and fine-tuned fuel fineness and distribution and airflows to minimize waterfall fire-side wastage in the lower furnace and wastage in the sub-stoichiometric firing zones.

At this point, Westover’s engineers drew on their experience and decided that brushing up on the basics of combustion would be prudent before taking another step. The words of any project plan can be changed or erased, but once steel is cut, any further changes would cause pain in the pocketbook.

Westover staffers began the next phase of the project by reacquainting themselves with the essentials of optimum combustion. The 13-item checklist of the practical fundamentals of good combustion system design (see box, p. 26) can guide an audit to ensure that a system is already in tune before significantly upgrading it. Consider this analogy. You wouldn’t make a big change to your car’s combustion system—like adding a supercharger—without first changing the plugs and oil and air filters and adjusting the timing, would you? If you failed to do so, you wouldn’t know what the engine’s baseline was.

1. Split decision. The fan-boosted overfire air system added to Unit 8 enables combustion to be staged, improving its efficiency and reducing the formation of thermal NO\textsubscript{x}. Source: Storm Technologies
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2. Applying the essentials. A holistic perspective of the impact of individual boiler systems on overall unit performance helped engineers decide which systems needed upgrading. Shown is the boiler’s “before” configuration, with potential combustion-related problem areas highlighted. Source: Storm Technologies

- Adding extended outlet skirts and exhauster blades, and returning tolerances to manufacturers’ specs.
- Checking spring tensions and setting them to the proper pressure (+200 lbs, journal-to-journal).
- Checking classifier blade timing and openings and setting them to achieve the desired fuel fineness.

**Stage play**

The ability to precisely control airflow is the most important characteristic of a combustion system because efficient combustion is a prerequisite for low-emissions steam generation. On Unit 8, staff installed a fan-boosted overfire air (OFA) system that reduces NOx by “staging” combustion.

Figure 1 is a schematic of the OFA system—designed by Storm Technologies—at Westover Station. Up to 25% of the 600F combustion air supply from the air preheater is ducted directly to the booster fan and on to the OFA ports in the upper furnace, bypassing the burners (Figure 2). Not shown in either figure are the two venturis and manually operated dampers used to measure and control the flow to the individual OFA ports.

The fan-boosted OFA system produces the same total air flow specified by the boiler manufacturer. However, the addition of the OFA ports enables combustion to be...
4. Stage presence. Overfire air reaching the OFA ports is pressurized to 10 to 15 inches (w.c.) to enable it to penetrate deep into the combustion zone. Courtesy: Storm Technologies

staged in the furnace. Staging reduces the formation of NOx in the burner belt zone by lowering furnace stoichiometry and by using the high-momentum OFA to complete combustion of carbon char before it can leave the furnace. The eight OFA nozzles (two on each water wall) enable the char to burn out in the upper furnace, where flame temperatures are below the threshold at which thermal NOx forms—about 2,800°F (Figure 3).

Fuel and air are staged within the furnace both vertically (by controlling burner tilt) and horizontally, thus making use of the entire furnace volume. Horizontal staging is effected by air diverters, which were added to auxiliary air buckets. It is essential to maintain an oxidizing environment on the waterwalls to protect them from wastage. Diverting some secondary air toward the furnace walls further stages combustion while providing additional protection for furnace tubes.

The OFA system’s booster fan increases the pressure of combustion air to about 10 to 15 inches (w.c.). At that pressure, proper penetration velocities can be obtained at each of the eight waterwall openings (Figure 4). Penetration velocity is key to maintaining acceptable levels of flyash LOI and CO in exit gas. It also ensures that there is sufficient oxygen to complete combustion of the carbon char in flyash before it is quenched below ignition temperature in the boiler’s convection pass (Figure 5). The design challenge was to provide enough excess air to account for this practical aspect of combustion dynamics, but not so much that overall boiler combustion efficiency is needlessly reduced (Figure 6).

Proof in the pudding
The project’s results demonstrate the value of applying the fundamentals of coal combustion to an emissions-reduction boiler retrofit. The data in Table 1, representing readings averaged over Unit 8’s load range, confirm that the project’s overall goal—reducing the unit’s NOx emissions below 0.32 mm/Btu—was met. Table 2 compares measurements taken at various unit loads immediately before and after installation of the OFA system in 2002. What Table 2 doesn’t show are the even-lower levels achieved by subsequent fine-tuning of the system. Examples include 0.18 to 0.20 lb/mmBtu at 36 MW and 0.28 to 0.32 lb/mmBtu at 83 MW.

Tuning for a single “design point” doesn’t make sense these days because nearly all coal-fired generating units now use a continuous emissions monitoring system to...

5. Tech support. Installation of the fan-boosted overfire air system required (from top to bottom) adding new air ducts and dampers, replacing problematic dampers, making eight penetrations of the waterwall to accommodate the overfire air nozzles, and installing yards of ductwork to bring the overfire air to the ports on the furnace wall. Courtesy: Storm Technologies

6. All blues. A post-installation cross section of Westover Unit 8 shows the fan-boosted OFA system in blue. Courtesy: Storm Technologies
Since the combustion system modifications were completed four years ago, Westover Unit 8 has operated more reliably as well as more cleanly.

A few more statistics underscore how beneficial and cost-effective the project was. The upgrades to Unit 8—which cost just $17.68/kW—cut daily NOx emissions from 5 tons to 2.8 tons, and the resulting gains in availability and generation revenues meant that the project paid for itself within three to four months.

The authors would like to recognize the entire staff of AES Westover Station for their considerable contributions to the success of this project and for their tireless work to restore Westover to operation after the flood.

—Storm Technologies (www.stormeng.com) can be reached at 704-983-2040 or skstorm1@aol.com. Jim Mulligan, plant manager of AES Westover Station, can be reached at jim.mulligan@aes.com.