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ABSTRACT

Pulverizer performance optimization is the first step to a successful combustion optimization program and the inter-relationships of the pulverizers must be considered when attempting to optimize combustion, overall unit performance, operability, reliability, and capacity. Pulverizer capacity seems to be an industry challenge while many units today are undergoing drastic fuel changes. Considering there seems to be a huge disconnect when correlating mill performance with such issues as fuel line distribution, heat rate, NOX and environmental control equipment performance, it is the intent of this technical paper to provide better understanding of how mechanical optimization & tuning of the pulverizers can yield overall improved plant performance.

Low NOX firing and/or optimization of the burner belt combustion with a limited amount of furnace residence time is absolutely essential to optimizing plant performance. For example, when pulverizer performance is poor, it is also often related to not only high furnace exit gas temperatures, increased slagging and/or high LOI, but also degrading electrostatic precipitator (ESP) performance from the coarse particle ash.

Furthermore, reliability of the boiler (ie. tube leaks, fouling, and slagging) can also be impacted negatively by secondary combustion and consequent super heater and re-heater tube metals overheating and/or wall wastage often occurs from non-optimized fuel distribution being delivered from the pulverizers.

Whether the reason for improving mill performance is for the aforementioned items and/or perhaps simply to reduce power generation costs with improved fuels flexibility, the purpose of this case study is to review the basics of vertical spindle mill performance improvements. The data used to support this paper is from a compilation of actual field testing & tuning results. Furthermore, Storm Technologies, Inc. (STI) suggests the aforementioned steps as an effective approach to optimization.

INTRODUCTION

Contrary to the typical industry opinion that 75-80% passing 200mesh coal fineness is not required for acceptable unit performance on a coal fired boiler, Storm Technologies, Inc. (STI) doesn't recommend operations with anything less. We say this because it is our experience that poor coal
fineness often compounds issues such as high levels of carbon in ash, increased slagging propensity, dry gas losses, and high de-superheater spray water flows. Over the past decade or so, it has been the experience of the author that a common goal with nearly every successful coal fired optimization project was to first achieve optimum mill performance. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to help further review this proven approach to vertical spindle mill optimization.

First of all, let’s discuss why “great” coal fineness is better than the typical industry accepted “good” coal fineness. The following figure indicates “as-found” fineness and STI recommended, which indicates the large difference in micron sizing, which impacts the time for “carbon burn-out” due to the particle sizing as well as improving fuel distribution to each burner line with the improved fineness. As you can see, the variance from 60% passing 200mesh results in a mean particle size of about 30 microns vs. a preferable mean particle size of 45-50 microns. As you can see below in figure 1, the difference of about 60% through 200 mesh and 80% passing 200mesh is a particle surface area difference of about 85%!

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Simply, optimum coal fineness and desirable portions of air & fuel to the burners is absolutely critical for acceptable combustion performance and control of emissions. As fineness increases, fuel balance improves. This is considering the more massive coarse coal particles have more momentum when entrained in air at a certain velocity and are more easily stratified than finer coal particles that have less mass, thus lower momentum (See figures 2 for example of some recent STI Testing Data).

![Figure 2](image2.png)

After coarse and fine coal particles are separated, fuel and air balance is further aggravated by imbalances in airflow. Typically, burner lines that receive the largest quantity of coarse coal particles have the lowest dirty air velocities. Considering this and our experience basis, this is why clean air balancing to achieve equal resistance between fuel lines is critical. This is also why fuel line balancing attempts with adjustable orifices is very seldom repeatable. In addition, improved fuel distribution allows for more uniform burning in the furnace and equitably distributed oxygen across the furnace. Finely distributed 45-50 Micron coal exiting the coal nozzle contributes to a more symmetrical and defined flame shape. This repeatable distribution of fuel and air with a stable and symmetrical flame development combines with combustion air staging to combust the fuel with minimum NOX formation and reduce and/or minimize slagging. Because of these previous reasons, we stand behind our recommendations for achieving the following pulverizer performance goals for vertical spindle coal pulverizers:
PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

Getting right to the heart of this paper, optimization of vertical spindle pulverizers is as follows:

- Fuel feed quality and size shall be consistent (< ¾” – 1” raw coal size).
- Fuel feed shall be measured and controlled as accurately as possible. Load cell, microprocessor equipped, gravimetric feeders are preferred.
- Primary airflow shall be accurately measured and controlled to ±3% accuracy.
- Primary air to fuel ratio shall be accurately controlled when above minimum.
  
  Primary airflow, when optimized, reduces tempering airflows, which then improves the airheater “X” ratio and reduces dry gas loss. Primary airflow, when reduced to optimum, also lowers NOx by reducing the free oxygen into the fuel rich de-volatilization zone of the flames. The optimum primary airflows also reduce flame lengths on wall fired boilers and thereby reduce desuperheating spray water flows and auxiliary steam consumption by the sootblowers.
- Fuel line fineness shall be 75% or more passing a 200 mesh screen, and 50 mesh particles shall be less than 0.1% as measured with an isokinetic coal sampler and utilizing proper test connections within the vertical fuel piping.
- Fuel line minimum velocities shall be 3,300 fpm.
- Fuel lines shall be balanced by “Clean Air” test to within 2% of average and measured by the two-team, dual traverse method. Re-orifice as required to achieve ±2% balance.
- Fuel lines shall be balanced by “Dirty Air” test to within 5% of average.
- Fuel lines shall be balanced in fuel flow to within 10% of average.
- Coal Rejects – Less then 10 #’s per hour.

- Pulverizer Power – With fuel changes to low HGI coals or operations with lower BTU coal (exceeding design capacity), mill motors are often undersized to achieve desirable performance.
- Fuel Line Temperature of 165 - 175°F with low volatile coal (may require synthetic lubricant if not already utilized); High volatile coals -150°F

Our experience has been that pulverizer performance optimization is the first step to combustion optimization. The “Essentials of Optimum Combustion” are typically about 70-80% pulverizer and fuel system delivery-related and the inter-relationships with total boiler performance must be considered when attempting to optimize combustion and/or plant efficiency.

MECHANICAL TUNING STEPS

Obviously, to get Results, mechanical tuning steps are required and the approach that STI suggests to achieve the performance goals on vertical spindle mills is as follows:

A. Install properly sized rotating throat segments & deflectors to improve primary classification and insure optimum performance can be attained while operating with acceptable air-fuel ratios. An example of a STI design used for optimum vectoring, improved classification and reduced mill rumbling is seen as figure 3.

B. Regardless of whether the classifier is a static or dynamic design, it must be configured to insure optimum spin and classification is achieved without disruption and/or plugging.

Figure 3: STI Deflector & Rotating Throat
C. Inverted cones, conical baffles, and other internal clearances and tolerances must be optimum while insuring that coarse product doesn't short circuit the classifier and also insuring circulation disruption is not disturbed.

D. The outlet cylinder must be optimized for laminar upward flow & velocities on pressurized pulverizers.

E. Classifier cone surface and/or ceramics must also be smooth. We prefer smooth AR400 or greater classifier cones for minimal plugging and optimum circulation.

F. System resistance should be balanced by installing properly sized, fixed square edge orifices. To simplify this procedure, Storm Technologies suggests the implemented the design shown as figure no. 5 which requires minimal mechanical support during balancing efforts.

Figure 4: RP mill with STORM Classifier Optimization Components Installed

G. Improve primary airflow measurement accuracy must be within ±2-3% as measured by local pitot tube traverse. It is the experience of the author that in order to attain long term & repeatable measurement, venturis or flow nozzles coupled with a high quality smart transmitter and instrument accessories is required. An example of an optimum airflow management system is seen as figure 7.

Figure 5: STI Orifice Housing Assembly

Figure 6: STI Orifice Housings installation design “as installed” at a typical mill discharge location

Figure 7: RS Mill equipped with STI Hot & Cold Air measuring venturi(s)
H. Raw coal inlet sizing should be < 1”.

I. The classifier outlet swing valves must be fully operational and/or preferably replaced with knife gate valves to prevent circulation disruptions. The DCS (if installed) Logic needs to be optimized for opening & closing of these valves.

J. The grinding element spring tensions must be optimized for optimum pressure between the grinding elements to enhance “once through grinding” and also to prevent stress on the main shaft as associated with unequal pressure settings. Achieving optimum “once thru grinding” is often very important with lower capacity vertical spindle mills with very little residence time such as 500-700 series RS mills and/or EL mills (see figures 7, 9 for example).

K. Coal feeder must be set with proper equipment specifications and programmed for confident +/- 1% accuracy of coal delivery.

L. The mill grinding elements must be in first class condition.

The balanced fuel flows are accomplished by balancing the fuel line resistances as the first step, and then applying a Comprehensive Approach to Pulverizer Performance Optimization. Once again, the coal fineness and desirable portions of air & fuel to the burners is absolutely critical for acceptable combustion performance and play a significant role in attaining desirable efficiency and emissions output. Also as previously noted, when fineness increases, fuel balance also improves. This is considering the more massive coarse coal particles have more momentum when entrained in air at a certain velocity and are more easily stratified than finer coal particles that have less mass, thus lower momentum (See figures 10, 11)

Figure 8: Local spring pressure assembly with a gage being utilized on a RS Bowl mill journal

Figure 9: Typical side view of an EL mill

Figure 10: Poor Fuel Balance
(Classifier Problem)
Considering there are many tangentially fired units within the industry that are equipped with exhauster mills, it should be noted that with these pulverizers, fuel line balancing can be a bit more challenging. Regardless of whether the system has 1, 2 or 3 sets of riffles, the same principles apply towards fuel line balancing in regards to balancing system resistance and achieving optimum coal fineness. However, with these exhauster mills (see figure 12), splatter plates, directional vanes and/or optimum riffle conditions/design are often required to achieve ±10% fuel balance.

Figure 12: Raymond Exhauster Mill w/ riffles modified with STI air management, classifier components & fuel line balancing equipment.

CLOSING

Optimum mill performance cannot be achieved with simple fuel line sensors, “bolt on”, “screw in”, or “wire in” approaches, such as variable orifices and neural networks controlling variable orifices in the fuel lines. However, the correct and long lasting approach to mill performance optimization & fuel line balancing is to treat the coal feeder, pulverizer, primary airflow, fuel lines and burners as an entire system. STI calls this approach the solid fuel injection system (SFIS) approach.

Getting the shortest possible flames possible with acceptable mill performance and in conjunction with low NOx firing has many benefits. This means less slagging at the upper furnace. So the compounding of benefits of optimizing mill performance apply in at least 9 ways which are as follows:

1. Reduced de-superheating spray flows
2. Less required soot blower operation to remove tenacious “sticky” cinders.
3. Less “pop corn ash and less consequent fouling of the SCR or air heater.
4. Less draft loss as a RESULT of less fouling and therefore less…..
5. F.D. and I.D. auxiliary power.
6. Less air heater leakage due to reduced head between the F.D. discharge, an APH exit gas static.
7. With proper sized pulverizer throats, less coal rejects (wasted fuel and fire hazard).
8. Capability to lower dry gas losses & excess air with improved fuel balance.
9. Less NOX production in the furnace which, in turn, reduces the required SCR reagent requirements.

Just the savings from heat rate, slagging and fuels flexibility can be millions of dollars per year and this justified the need for performance driven maintenance (or condition based maintenance). Pulverizer and burner line performance should be driven by periodic testing and then maintenance driven by actual pulverizer fineness and fuel distribution; and not by tons of coal throughput or thousands of hours of operation.

Truly optimum pulverized coal fueled boiler performance can significantly improve the overall plants performance, including heat rate. By improving mill performance, the benefits can easily yield 100 – 400 Btu’s in heat rate savings through carbon in ash reduction, reduced furnace exit gas temperatures and de-superheating spray flows alone. Furthermore, typically these improvements which are especially important during peak generation months, also correlate with a reduction in forced outage rates and reliability improvements. Thus, implementation of a comprehensive pulverizer performance program such as the STI “Solid Fuel Injection Systems (SFIS) is well warranted.
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